2015-2016 Annual Assessment Report Template

For instructions and guidelines visit our <u>website</u> or <u>contact us</u> for more help.

Report:	BA English		
Question 1: Progra	am Learning Outcomes		
Q1.1. Which of the following Progra assess? [Check all that ap	am Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Go ply]	als (BLGs) did you	
1. Critical Thinking			
2. Information Literacy			
3. Written Communication	on		
4. Oral Communication			
☐ 5. Quantitative Literacy			
6. Inquiry and Analysis			
7. Creative Thinking			
8. Reading			
9. Team Work			
10. Problem Solving			
11. Civic Knowledge and	d Engagement		
12. Intercultural Knowle	edge and Competency		
13. Ethical Reasoning			
14. Foundations and Ski	ills for Lifelong Learning		
15. Global Learning			
16. Integrative and App	lied Learning		
17. Overall Competencie	es for GE Knowledge		
·	es in the Major/Discipline		
	ssessed PLOs not included above:		
a. Scholarly research			
b			
Q1.2. Please provide more detailed how your specific PLOs are e	background information about EACH PLO you checked above and othe xplicitly linked to the Sac State BLGs: of the Baccalaureate Learning Goals: Competence in the		
Intellectual and Practical Skills including inquiry and analysis, critical, philosophical, and creative thinking, written and oral communication, quantitative literacy, information literacy, teamwork and problem solving; Personal and Social Responsibility including intercultural knowledge and competence, ethical reasoning and action, foundations and skills for lifelong			

Q1.2.1. Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?
1. Yes, for all PLOs
2. Yes, but for some PLOs
3. No rubrics for PLOs
O 4. N/A
O 5. Other, specify:
Q1.3.
Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university? 1. Yes
2. No 3. Don't know
3. Don't know
Q1.4.
Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))?
O 1. Yes
② 2. No (skip to Q1.5)
3. Don't know (skip to Q1.5)
Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes , are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Q1.5. Did your program use the <i>Degree Qualification Profile</i> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)? 1. Yes 2. No, but I know what the DQP is 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is 4. Don't know
Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
(Remember: Save your progress)
Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO
Q2.1. Select ONE(1) PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you <i>checked the correct box</i> for this PLO in Q1.1):
Select PLO from list

02 1 1

Please provide more background information about the **specific PLO** you've chosen in Q2.1.

			trate an ability to perform scholarly research that incorporates analysis of y sources using appropriate disciplinary methodologies.
1. Ye2. N	es Io Oon't kno		or adopted explicit standards of performance for this PLO?
Q2.3. Please pr appendix		he rubric((s) and standards of performance that you have developed for this PLO here or in the
	oric for R 5 KB	esearch.doc	No file attached
Q2.4. PLO	Q2.5. Stdrd	Pubric	Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard of performance, and the rubric that was used to measure the PLO:
			In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
			2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
			3. In the student handbook/advising handbook
			4. In the university catalogue
			5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters
			6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities
			7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university
✓			8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
			9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents
			10. Other, specify:

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the Selected PLO

Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO?
● 1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q6)
3. Don't know (skip to Q6)
O 4. N/A (skip to Q6)
Q3.1.1. How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO? 2
Q3.2. Was the data scored/evaluated for this PLO? 1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q6)
3. Don't know (skip to Q6)
4. N/A (skip to Q6)
Q3.2.1. Please describe how you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data collected:
Faculty members were queried as to whether or not they were requiring scholarly research in their courses during AY 2015-2016. Among those who did, 4 volunteered to collect data for the report.
(Remember: Save your progress) Question 3A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)
Q3.3. Were direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q3.7)
3. Don't know (skip to Q3.7)
o. John Mark to Zony
Q3.3.1. Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply] ☐ 1. Capstone project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences ☑ 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program ☑ 3. Key assignments from elective classes
3. Key assignments from elective classes 4. Classroom based performance assessment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or critiques
5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community-based projects
,

☐ 6. E-Portfolios ☐ 7. Other Portfolios ☐ 8. Other, specify: Q3.3.2. Please explain and attach the direct measure you used to collect data: There is more than a single file. They will be emailed to you separately.	
Rubric for Research.doc 29.5 KB No file attached	
What tool was used to evaluate the data? ○ 1. No rubric is used to interpret the evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) • 2. Used rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class (skip to Q3.4.2.) ○ 3. Used rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) ○ 4. Used rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) ○ 5. The VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.) ○ 6. Modified VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.) ○ 7. Used other means (Answer Q3.4.1.) Q3.4.1. If you used other means, which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply] □ 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.4.) □ 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.) □ 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.) □ 4. Other, specify:	(skip to Q3.4.4.)
Q3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	
Q3.4.3. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the rule 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	oric?

Q3.4.4. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO?
O _{1. Yes}
● _{2. No}
3. Don't know
O 4. N/A
Q3.5. How many faculty members participated in planning the assessment data collection of the selected PLO?
Q3.5.1. How many faculty members participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected PLO? 5
Q3.5.2. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scorir similarly)? ① 1 Yes
O 2. No
3. Don't know
O 4. N/A
Q3.6. How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc.)?
instructor selection
Q3.6.1. How did you decide how many samples of student work to review?
Committee decision

Q3.6.2. How many students were in the class or program?
Q3.6.3. How many samples of student work did you evaluated? many
Q3.6.4. Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know (Remember: Save your progress)
Question 3B: Indirect Measures (surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.) Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q3.8) 3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8)
Q3.7.1. Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply] 1. National student surveys (e.g. NSSE) 2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR) 3. College/department/program student surveys or focus groups 4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews 5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews 6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews 7. Other, specify:
Q3.7.1.1. Please explain and attach the indirect measure you used to collect data: No file attached No file attached

Q3.7.2. If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?		
Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, how did you select your sample:		
Q3.7.4. If surveys were used, what was the response rate?		
Question 3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)		
Q3.8. Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO?		
O 1. Yes		
② 2. No (skip to Q3.8.2)		
3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8.2)		
Q3.8.1.		
Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply] 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams		
2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.)		
3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.)		
4. Other, specify:		
Q3.8.2.		
Were other measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes		
2. No (skip to Q4.1)		
3. Don't know (skip to Q4.1)		

Q3.8.3.

If other measures were used, please specify:		
■ No file attached■ No file attached		
(Demonstrate Course value and analysis)		
(Remember: Save your progress) Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions		
Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO for Q2.1:		
2015-2016 English Dept Assessment Data, Complied 2015.07.01.xlsx		
28.76 KB No file attached		
Q4.2. Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student		
performance of the selected PLO? Yes. We have a more than satisfactory Very Good rate (40%), an exceedingly strong Excellent rate (32%), and low		
Satisfactory rate (22%). We have 6% Unsatisfactory rate, which is acceptable.		
No file attached No file attached		
Q4.3.		
For the selected PLO, the student performance:		
1. Exceeded expectation/standard		
2. Met expectation/standard		
3. Partially met expectation/standard		
4. Did not meet expectation/standard		
5. No expectation/standard has been specified		
6. Don't know		

Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? O 1. Yes O 2. No 3. Don't know Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? ① 1. Yes O 2. No 3. Don't know Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop) Q5.1. As a result of the assessment effort and based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g. course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)? O 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q5.2) 3. Don't know (skip to Q5.2) Please describe what changes you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making? O 1. Yes O 2. No 3. Don't know How have the assessment data from the last annual 2. 3. 4 assessment been used so far? [Check all that apply] Quite Not at N/A Very Some Much a Bit ΑII

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

1. Improving specific courses

2. Modifying curriculum

(

Improving advising and mentoring		\circ	\circ	\circ	•
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals		0	0	0	•
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	0	0	0	0	•
6. Developing/updating assessment plan	0	0	0	0	•
7. Annual assessment reports	0	0	0	0	•
8. Program review	0	0	0	0	•
9. Prospective student and family information	0	0	0	0	•
10. Alumni communication	0	0	0	0	•
11. WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)	0	0	0	0	•
12. Program accreditation	0	0	0	0	•
13. External accountability reporting requirement	0	0	0	0	•
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations	0	0	0	0	•
15. Strategic planning	0	0	0	0	•
16. Institutional benchmarking	0	0	0	0	•
17. Academic policy development or modifications	0	0	0	0	•
18. Institutional improvement	0	0	0	0	•
19. Resource allocation and budgeting	0	0	0	0	•
20. New faculty hiring	0	0	0	0	•
21. Professional development for faculty and staff	0	0	0	0	•
22. Recruitment of new students	0	0	0	0	•
23. Other, specify:					

23. Other, specii

Q5.2.1.

Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above:

AS we have rerported in past years, we are in middle of a 5-year assessment plan. Next year, we will be in Year 5, in which we will assess the assessment program and will consider modifications at that time.

(Remember: Save your progress)

Additional Assessment Activities

Q6.

Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspect of their program that are not related to the PLOs (i.e. impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on program elements, please briefly report your results here:

Ú	No file attached No file attached	
Q7.		
	at PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? [Check all that a	apply]
	1. Critical Thinking	
	2. Information Literacy	
	3. Written Communication	
Ш	4. Oral Communication	
	5. Quantitative Literacy	
	6. Inquiry and Analysis	
	7. Creative Thinking	
	8. Reading	
	9. Team Work	
	10. Problem Solving	
	11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement	
	12. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency	
	13. Ethical Reasoning	
	14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning	
	15. Global Learning	
	16. Integrative and Applied Learning	
	17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge	
✓	18. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline	
	19. Other, specify any PLOs not included above:	
a.		
b.		
c.		
Q8.	Please attach any additional files here:	
'n	English Department Assessment Plan and Learning Goals.doc	
y	30 KB	No file attached No file attached
Ø	No file attached	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(2)	No nie ditached	

Q8.1.

Have you attached any files to this form? If yes, please list every attached file here:

Program Information (Required)			
P1.			
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by degree]			
BA English			
P1.1.			
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by department]			
English BA			
P2.			
Report Author(s): Hellen Lee			
Helieff Lee			
P2.1.			
Department Chair/Program Director: David Toise			
David Total			
P2.2.			
Assessment Coordinator: Hellen Lee			
P3. Department/Division/Program of Academic Unit			
English			
P4. College:			
College of Arts & Letters			
P5. Total enrollment for Academic Unit during assessment semester (see Departmental Fact Book):			
P6. Program Type:			
1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major			
O 2. Credential			
O 3. Master's Degree			
4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)			
4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.1./etc.) 5. Other, specify:			
o. Other, specify:			
P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has?			
6			

P7.1. List all the names:
English Bachelor of Arts (BA) English Bachelor of Arts (BA) with Pre-Credential Preparation English Minor Creative Writing Minor TESOL Minor TESOL Certificate A
P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program? 2
P8. Number of master's degree programs the academic unit has?
P8.1. List all the names:
■ English Master of Arts (MA) ■ TESOL Master of Arts (MA) ■
P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master's program?
3
P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has?
P9.1. List all the names:
English Bachelor of Arts (BA) with Pre-Credential Preparation
P10. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has?

P10.1. List all the names:

7. Don't know

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

When was your assessment plan	1. Before 2010-11	2. 2011-12	3. 2012-13	4. 2013-14	5. 2014-15	6. No Plan
P11. developed?	0	•	0	0	0	0
P11.1. last updated?	0	•	0	0	0	0
		1				I
P11.3. Please attach your latest assessment plan	n:					
English Department Assessment Pla		ing Goals d	oc			
30 KB	arrana Learn	ing doars.u				
P12. Has your program developed a curriculum	man?					
1. Yes	тпар:					
O 2. No						
3. Don't know						
3. Don't know						
P12.1. Please attach your latest curriculum map	:					
ENGL- 4 year plan.docx						
148.9 KB						
P13. Has your program indicated in the curriculum	ım man where	e assessmer	nt of studer	nt learning	occurs?	
1. Yes	apor	0 40000011101	0. 0.000	g	0000.01	
O 2. No						
3. Don't know						
P14.						
Does your program have a capstone class?						
1. Yes, indicate: ENGL 198T						
O 2. No						
3. Don't know						
P14.1.						
Does your program have any capstone pro	ject?					
① 1. Yes						
2. No						
3. Don't know						

(Remember: Save your progress)

Rubric for Research

Learning Outcome 3

Students will demonstrate an ability to perform scholarly research that incorporates analysis of primary and secondary sources using appropriate disciplinary methodologies.

	4	3	2	1
Incorporate	The text	The text	The text shows	The text shows
analysis of	thoroughly	adequately	limited analysis	little or no
sources	integrates	analyzes texts	and	analysis or
	primary, and	and adequately	development	development of
	when	develops ideas	and limited	ideas and
	appropriate,	with supporting	supporting	supporting
	secondary	details.	details.	evidence, if
	texts.			present, is
				inadequate.
Use of	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Shows little or
appropriate	sophisticated	ability to use	an inconsistent	no ability to use
disciplinary	use of	appropriate	or limited	appropriate
methodologies	appropriate	disciplinary	ability to use	disciplinary
	disciplinary	methodologies	appropriate	methodologies.
	methodologies		disciplinary	
			methodologies	

AY 2015-2016 BY COURSE	OUSTANDING 4-A	%	MORE THAN SATISFACTORY 3-B	%
ENGL 110Q	9	35%	13	50%
ENGL 110Q	7	21%	12	46%
ENGL 120A	3	10%	13	45%
ENGL 145C	20	69%	12	41%
ENGL 150C	14	48%	15	52%
ENGL 165F	9	31%	14	48%
ENGL 165F	14	48%	15	52%

FALL 2014			MORE THAN	
TOTAL ENROLLED	OUSTANDING 4-A	%	SATISFACTORY 3-B	%
(50A not incl.)			SATISFACTORY 5-B	

76 32% 94 40%

SATISFACTORY 2-C	%	UNSATISFACTORY 1-D and below	%	TOTAL ENROLLED
4	15%	0	0%	26
7	27%	0	0%	26
11	38%	2	7%	30
8	28%	5	17%	47
6	21%	5	17%	41
11	38%	1	3%	36
4	14%	2	7%	36

SATISFACTORY 2-C	%	UNSATISFACTORY 1	%
51	22%	15	6%

English Department Learning Goals (Updated Fall 2011)

- 1) Students will demonstrate an ability to perform scholarly research that incorporates analysis of primary and secondary sources using appropriate disciplinary methodologies.
- 2) Students will demonstrate content knowledge appropriate to one or more of our various disciplines.
- 3) In a process that includes revision based on feedback from peers and instructors, students will produce a variety of written texts that demonstrate an ability to analyze language, ideas, and forms and creatively engage with the writing traditions of our various disciplines.
- 4) Students will demonstrate an ability to apply critical reading strategies to a variety of texts, which may include written, oral, or visual works, and to analyze language and texts using appropriate critical, theoretical, rhetorical, and disciplinary methodologies.

English Department Assessment Plan (Updated Fall 2011)

Years 1-4

- The English Department's Curriculum and Assessment Committee (CAC) will assess work from 3-5 courses related to one of the department's four learning outcomes. CAC will examine a different learning outcome in each of the first four years of the plan.
- —Each year, CAC will read a sample of the students' work and will prepare a summary of their findings to be presented to the department.
- —The department will discuss the implications of that year's findings and changes that could be implemented in response to them.
- The English Department's Student Activities and Outreach Committee (SAOC) will administer a senior survey, an alumni survey, and an entering student survey. Findings from these surveys will be presented the department for discussion along with the results of CAC's annual summaries.

Year 5

The department will (a) synthesize and holistically discuss findings from the four previous years, (b) identify possible curricular revisions that emerge from those findings, (c) determine which revisions will be implemented and develop a plan for doing so, and (d) review the assessment plan and revise it as necessary.

1) Students will demonstrate an ability to perform scholarly research that incorporates analysis of primary and secondary sources using appropriate disciplinary methodologies.

Could be related to these parts of the Baccalaureate Learning Goals: Competence in the Disciplines; Intellectual and Practical Skills including *inquiry and analysis, critical, philosophical, and creative thinking, written and oral communication, quantitative literacy, information literacy, teamwork and problem solving; Personal and Social Responsibility including intercultural knowledge and competence, ethical reasoning and action, foundations and skills for lifelong learning; Integrative Learning.*

2) Students will demonstrate content knowledge appropriate to one or more of our various disciplines.

Could be related to these parts of the Baccalaureate Learning Goals: Competence in the Disciplines.

3) In a process that includes revision based on feedback from peers and instructors, students will produce a variety of written texts that demonstrate an ability to analyze language, ideas, and forms and creatively engage with the writing traditions of our various disciplines.

Could be related to these parts of the Baccalaureate Learning Goals: Competence in the Disciplines; Knowledge of Human Cultures; Intellectual and Practical Skills including inquiry and analysis, critical, philosophical, and creative thinking, written and oral communication teamwork and problem solving; Personal and Social Responsibility ethical reasoning and action, foundations and skills for lifelong learning; Integrative Learning.

4) Students will demonstrate an ability to apply critical reading strategies to a variety of texts, which may include written, oral, or visual works, and to analyze language and texts using appropriate critical, theoretical, rhetorical, and disciplinary methodologies.

Could be related to these parts of the Baccalaureate Learning Goals: Competence in the Disciplines; Knowledge of Human Cultures; Intellectual and Practical Skills including inquiry and analysis, critical, philosophical, and creative thinking, written and oral communication; Personal and Social Responsibility including intercultural knowledge and competence, ethical reasoning and action, foundations and skills for lifelong learning.

ENGLISH

FOUR + YEAR PLAN

Minimum total units required for BA Degree: 120 • (45 units required from Major department)

• Additional courses may be needed to meet remediation requirements in English and/or Math prior to completing GE requirements: A2 & B4 This form is designed to be used in partnership with GE and Major advisors - modifications may be necessary to meet the unique needs of each student. Seek assistance each semester to stay on track and graduate!

